| | C |
|----------------------------|--|
| No. | Comment Theme |
| | |
| | Fate and TransportModeling and |
| 1 | MNR |
| | Principal Threat Waste and Oregon |
| 2 | Hot Spots |
| | |
| | Alternatives Developmentand |
| 3 | Dredging |
| | |
| | |
| 4 | Risk Related |
| | |
| _ | |
| 5 | Comparative Evaluation |
| | |
| | |
| | RAOs, RGs, RALs, andSMA |
| 6 | Development |
| 7 | Technology Evaluation |
| , | The state of the s |
| | General Process andEditorial Issues |
| Issues from Minor | |
| Disagreement Category Only | |
| | |
| | |
| 9 | ESA/CWA Mitigation/Work windows |
| 10 | Source Control |
| All tasks | GIS/Mapping |
| | Statistical anlaysis/geo stats/spatial |
| | analysis/uncertainty analysis |
| | Risk management decision support |
| Notes: | |

| * Its assumed that LWG | *2 Todd King (CDM) will be involved |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| and EPA management | with each group. |
| leads (Bob Wyatt, Jim | |
| McKenna, Chip | |
| Humphrey, and Kristine | |
| Koch) will be involved at | |
| least initially with each | |
| topic and Carl Stivers | |
| willbe involved in each | |
| group. | |
| | |
| | |

**"L" indicates comments from EPA's letter. Numbers without particular theme. Comments 23, 36, and 96 are comments rel
***"Associated work" includes time to discuss and resolve tech associated with Theme #1). Work beyond the noted end date

DRAFT FOR PROJECT MANAGER DISCUSSION ONLY

| Potential Major Sub-Issues (under | Key LWG Technical Team | |
|--|--|--|
| development) | Members* | |
| | | |
| Fate and transport modelingMNR weight of evidenceHST | | |
| model | Kevin Russell,Mike Werth,Kirk Ziegler | |
| model | Reviii Russelljiviike Wei trijkiik Ziegiei | |
| | Amanda Shellenberger,Laura Kennedy | |
| Sequence of SMAs, various duration issues, NMFS work | | |
| window,Inclusion of CDFs,Dock removal,Technology | | |
| assignments,Cost estimates,Dredge containment,Dredge | John Verduin,Elizabeth Appy,John | |
| releases/residuals | Laplante | |
| | | |
| Site-wide vs. focused spatial scales, Benthic risk | Amanda Shellenberger,John Toll,Laura | |
| comments, Sensitivity analysis | Kennedy | |
| | | |
| Scoring/weightingT=0 risk reductionAlternative GWorker | | |
| risksOverall protectivenessCost effectiveness | Amanda Shellenberger, Clay Patmont | |
| | | |
| New RME, RGs, RAO 3 (HH water),RAO 7 (Eco water),TZW | | |
| HQs, "Address" all contaminants, Buried contamination, | | |
| Non-cancer infant risks, Mapping of RALs | Amanda Shellenberger, Laura Kennedy | |
| | - | |
| Capping, In-situ treatment,EMNR | Matt Henderson | |
| | NA | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Elizabeth Appy | |
| | пистоси прру | |
| | Amanda Shellenberger | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

t the "L" prefix indicate comments from EPA's detailed comment table. Comment numbers in parenthe presenting minor agree issues not included in any of the themes.

hnical approaches and conduct the revised analysis. Except for Theme #1 (F&T Modeling), timelines do would include incorporation of new information into the revised FS; revised text preparation; the LWG

DRAFT

| KEY Agency Tech Team/Lead *2 | Prioritization of Resolution (under development) | Estimated LatestPossible Start and EndDates for Associated Work*** | FS Revision Lead |
|--|---|--|------------------------|
| Earl Hayter, Karl Gustavson (COE), Keegan Roberts (CDM) Jim Anderson(DEQ), Eric Blischke | First priority | Start 1/30End 6/30(Certain modeling scenarios are not possible by Nov. 2013) Start 1/30(Check in | EPA/LWG |
| (CDM) | First priority | 3/1)End 6/30 | EPA |
| Karl Gustavson (COE), Eric Blischke, Roger Olsen, Keegan Roberts (CDM) | First priority | Start 2/15End 6/30 | EPA/LWG |
| Burt Shepard, Elizabeth Allen(EPA) Tony Gendusa (CDM) | First priority | Start 1/30End 6/30(Longest lead item: benthicrisk areas) | EPA/LWG |
| Roger Olsen, Ron French, Eric Blischke (CDM) | Second priority | Start 3/15End 6/30 | EPA/LWG |
| Elizabeth Allen, Burt Shepard (EPA), Roger Olsen, Eric Blishke, Steve Rood (CDM) | First priority | Start 1/30End 6/30(Longest lead items affect SMA areas: TZW, buried cont., RALs) | EPA/LWG |
| Eric Blischke, Keegan Roberts (CDM) | Second priority | Start 3/15End 6/30 | EPA/LWG |
| Susan Penoyar, Jeanette Mullin, Jen Keefe (CDM) | Second priority | Start 3/15End 6/30 | EPA/LWG |
| Dwight Dunk, Tony Gendusa (CDM) Genevieve Angle (NOAA) Rich Muza (EPA), Lance Peterson | Second priority | Start 3/15End 6/30 | EPA/LWG |
| (CDM) | Second priority | Start 3/15End 6/30 | EPA/LWG |
| Steve Rood (CDM) | | | |
| John Kern, Drew Santini (CDM) | | | |
| L | l. | ı | <u> </u> |

esis represent commentsthat the LWG generally agree with but are applicable to the

not allow formodeling of any resulting new or revised alternatives (see timeline review, revision, and approval process; and document production.

Comments Associated with Theme**

L6,19, 21, 24,27, 30, 31,32, 33 34, 35, 38, 39, 40, 41, 47 (20, 26, 37, 42)

L7, 3, 4

53,54a,54b,55, 56, 57, 60, 65, 66, 67,68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73,75, 77,78, 80, 88, 94, 95a,

L8, L10, 1, 2, 8, 10, 11, 12,13, 78,90a, 90b, 91(93)

L11,6,18, 27, 74,78,79,83, 84, 86,87, 88,89(85)

7, 8,9, 10, 11, 13,14, 15,16, 17, 21,22, 29,81, 82, 92 (28)

30, 43, 44, 46,49(45, 48,50)

L1,L2, L3, L4,L5

.

25, 76, 82

